THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION Nos.3278 and 4404 of 2007

Dated:20.03.2007

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.3278 OF 2007:

Between:

K.Krishna Rao

…Petitioner

And:

The Station House Officer, I Town Police Station,
Guntakal and four others.

…Respondents

AND

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.4404 of 2007:

Between:

K.Krishna Rao

…Petitioner

And:

The Station House Officer, I Town Police Station,
Guntakal and three others.

…Respondents

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.3278 OF 2007

AND

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.4404 OF 2007

ORAL COMMON ORDER: **(per THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA)**

Heard learned Government Pleader for Home, appearing for the respondents, in both the writ petitions.

2. Since the petitioner and his grievance are one and the same, in both the writ petitions, they are being disposed of by this common order.

3. Writ Petition Nos.3278 and 4404 of 2007 are taken up on the basis of the letters, dated 12.02.2007 and 28.02.2007, respectively, by one K.Krishna Rao, S/o. K.Ramachandra Rao, R/o. Narsapuram, Guntakal Mandal, Anantapur District, to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, alleging that on 08.02.2007, her daughter by name Sowmya was kidnapped by one Maruthi Nagendra Prasad and his parents viz., Shankar and Syamalamma and that when a complaint is made, the same was refused to be registered by the Police, I Town Police Station, Guntakal, Anantapur District.

4. In response to the notices, issued by this Court, the Sub-Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station, Guntakal, Anantapur District, filed counter-affidavit stating, *inter alia,* that the daughter of the petitioner [CMS11]and one Maruti Nagendra Kumar liked each other and got married; that she has been living with her husband at Kurnool and that the daughter of the petitioner has given a statement to the effect that she is willing to live with her husband and refused to go with her father, who is none other than the petitioner.

5. Upon the directions of this Court, the daughter of the petitioner is produced today and when questioned by this Court, she stated that she has been living happily with her husband at

Kurnool and her age is more than 18 years, which has been confirmed by pressing into service the Secondary School Certificate. Therefore, obviously, even as on the date of the marriage, she was a major and she has got every right and freedom to behave in her own way.

6. Having regard to the said statement made by the daughter of the petitioner, who was allegedly kidnapped, and the revelations in the counter-affidavit, we find no truth in the allegations made by the petitioner in the said letters and, accordingly, no intervention is warranted by this Court, in the writ petitions.

7. For the foregoing, the writ petitions fail and are liable to be closed.

8. In the result, the writ petitions are closed, at the stage of admission. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

_____

D.S.R.VARMA, J

_____

D.APPA RAO, J

20TH MARCH 2007
DR/KRK

[CMS11]